

October 31, 1975

**Cable, American Embassy Seoul to the Secretary of
State, 'ROKG Nuclear Reprocessing'**

Citation:

"Cable, American Embassy Seoul to the Secretary of State, 'ROKG Nuclear Reprocessing'", October 31, 1975, Wilson Center Digital Archive, Gerald Ford Presidential Library, National Security Adviser Presidential Country Files for East Asia and the Pacific, Box 11, Korea - State Department Telegrams, to SecState - NODIS (7). Contributed by Charles Kraus. <https://digitalarchive.umd.edu/document/114614>

Summary:

The U.S. Embassy in Seoul reports that the South Korean government continues to press forward on purchasing a French nuclear reprocessing plant.

Credits:

This document was made possible with support from Leon Levy Foundation

Original Language:

English

Contents:

Original Scan

PORTION(S) THAT REMAIN CLASSIFIED AFTER
ISCAP DECISION ARE BRACKETED IN RED

NLF MR Case No. 94-146
Document No. #31



Department of State

TELEGRAM

~~SECRET~~

NO0493

PAGE 01 SECUL 08458 01 OF 02 311217Z

10
ACTION NODS-00

INFO OCT-01 ISO-00 /001 W

COPY 15 OF 15 COPIES

079622

R 310859Z OCT 75
FM ANEMBASSY SEOUL
TO SECSTATE WASHDC 3650

~~SECRET~~ SECTION 1 OF 2 SECUL 8458

NODIS

NOFORN

R.O. 11652: GDS
TAGS: MNUC K5
SUBJECT: ROKG NUCLEAR REPROCESSING

REF: (A) STATE 226011 (B) SEOUL 8278

SUMMARY: ROKG'S SECOND REJECTION OF OUR REQUEST THAT THEY CANCEL FRENCH NUCLEAR REPROCESSING FACILITY CONTRACT LEAVES US WITH OPTIONS OF SIMPLY LETTING SITUATION DEVELOP, ACCEPTING ROK OFFER OF INSPECTION, OR APPROACHING PRESIDENT PARK WITH TOUGH POSITION OR EFFORT TO WORK OUR COMPROMISE. I RECOMMEND COMPROMISE APPROACH AS BEST ALTERNATIVE. END SUMMARY.

1. AS SET FORTH REF (B), ROKG HAS NOW TURNED US DOWN FOR SECOND TIME ON CANCELLATION OF PURCHASE OF FRENCH NUCLEAR REPROCESSING EXPERIMENTAL FACILITY. WE ARE NOW AT AN IMPASSE ON THIS ISSUE. IT IS ALSO CLEAR THAT DECISION TO REJECT OUR APPROACH WAS APPROVED BY PRESIDENT PARK AFTER FULL AND SERIOUS CONSIDERATION OF OUR POSITION.

2. FACTORS UNDERLINING ROKG POSITION ARE COMPLEX, BUT KEY CONSIDERATIONS APPARENTLY WERE:

(A) STRONG ELEMENT OF NATIONAL PRIDE AND EGO, COMBINED WITH RESENTMENT ROKG BEING GIVEN DISCRIMINATORY TREATMENT AS COMPARED TO JAPAN.

~~SECRET~~

Photocopy from Gerald R. Ford Library

NODS-00-15-NODS-00-15-NODS-00-15



Department of State

TELEGRAM

PAGE 03 SFOUL 08458 01 OF 02 311217Z

FEASIBLE AS LONG AS FINAL PAPERS NOT SIGNED. THESE OPTIONS ARE:

(1) WE CAN NOW LET QUESTION LIE FALLOW WITHOUT FURTHER REPLY LETTING ROKS DISCOVER FOR THEMSELVES DIFFICULTY OF PROCEEDING WITHOUT OUR SUPPORT IN NUCLEAR FIELD;

(2) WE CAN ACQUIESCE IN REPROCESSING SALE, ACCEPTING ROK OFFERS OF BILATERAL U.S. AS WELL AS INTERNATIONAL INSPECTION;

(3) WE CAN RAISE THIS ISSUE ONCE AGAIN DIRECTLY WITH PRESIDENT PARK SEEKING COMPROMISE OF A MORATORIUM ON REPROCESSING CONTRACT WHICH WE HAVE HEARD MAY BE FEASIBLE; OR

(4) WE CAN CONFRONT PARK PERSONALLY WITH UNCOMPROMISING LINE.

5. OPTIONS 1 AND 2: IT IS POSSIBLE PERHAPS TO TAKE CALCULATED RISK AND LET SITUATION DEVELOP WITH CONSEQUENCES OF ADVERSE PUBLICITY AND CONGRESSIONAL REFUSAL OF KORI II LOAN AS WELL AS QUITE POSSIBLY CUT OFF OF MILITARY ASSISTANCE. UNDER THESE PRESSURES, ROKS MAY EVENTUALLY FALL INTO LINE. BUT WE MUST FACE LIKELIHOOD OF PUBLIC CONFRONTATION, WHICH COULD ADVERSELY AFFECT OUR INTERESTS HERE. IN MEANTIME, MOREOVER, ROKS WILL BE WELL DOWN ROAD ON REPROCESSING AND REVERSING COURSE COULD BE VERY DIFFICULT.

6. SECOND OPTION IS TO ACCEPT ROKG ASSURANCES AND DEVELOP UNILATERAL INSPECTION SYSTEM, OFFERED BY ROKS, TO ENSURE AGAINST DIVERSION. DISADVANTAGE OF THIS IS THAT IT WOULD NOT PROVIDE TOTAL ASSURANCE, PARTICULARLY UNDER CIRCUMSTANCES IN WHICH ROKS WOULD RENOUNCE NPT AND INSPECTION BY IAEA OR THIRD COUNTRY. FURTHER DISADVANTAGE IS, THAT, HAVING UNDERTAKEN SECOND ROUND OF DEMARCHES, WE HAVE PROBABLY GONE BEYOND PSYCHOLOGICAL POINT OF NO RETURN, WITHOUT LOSING OUR CREDIBILITY WHICH COULD ALSO AFFECT OUR FUTURE INTERESTS HERE.

7. OPTIONS 3 AND 4: AS I HAVE POINTED OUT PREVIOUSLY, I CONTINUE TO BELIEVE THAT ONLY A DIRECT APPROACH TO PRESIDENT PARK HAS PROSPECT FOR SUCCESS. WE HOLD MANY HIGH CARDS AND PARK IS ULTIMATE REALIST; THERE IS THEREFORE A REASONABLE PROSPECT FOR SUCCESS. THE PRINCIPAL QUESTION IS NATURE OF APPROACH TO PARK, WHETHER WE THROW THE GAUNTLET DOWN OR TRY TO REACH

Photocopy from Gerald R. Ford Library

NOT TO BE REPRODUCED WITHOUT THE AUTHORIZATION OF THE EXECUTIVE SECRETARY

NON-SECRET



Department of State

TELEGRAM

~~SECRET~~

PAGE 04 SEOUL 08458 01 OF 02 311217Z

COMPROMISE AS SUGGESTED IN OPTION 3.

8

Section 3.4 (b) (6) of E.O. 12958

~~SECRET~~

Photocopy from Gerald R. Ford Library

NOT TO BE REPRODUCED WITHOUT THE AUTHORIZATION OF THE EXECUTIVE SECRETARY

NO DISSEMINATION



Department of State

TELEGRAM

~~SECRET~~

NO0498

PAGE 01 SEQU 08458 02 OF 02 3112277

14
ACTION NOUS-30

INFO OCT-01 ISO-40 (NOCS) W

379822

R 31-859Z OCT 75
FM AMEMBASSY SEOUL
TO SECSTATE WASHDC 3651

~~SECRET~~ SECTION 2 OF 2 SEOUL 8458

NO DIS

NOFORN

9. ALTERNATIVE (OPTION 3) IS TO APPROACH PARK, Section 3.4(6)(6)
of E.O. 12958 IN STRAIGHTFORWARD MANNER,
EXPRESS TO HIM OUR DEEP CONCERNS REGARDING NUCLEAR WEAPONS
DEVELOPMENT, AND SEEK COMPROMISE. WE WOULD LOOK TO TWO - THREE
YEAR MORATORIUM ON REPROCESSING PLANT PURCHASE, FRANKLY NOTING
THAT THIS WOULD NOT FORECLOSE PARK'S NUCLEAR WEAPONS OPTION FOR
FUTURE. WE SHOULD STRESS THAT THIS APPROACH WOULD PERMIT AN
EXPANDING FLOW OF TECHNOLOGICAL AND ECONOMIC BENEFITS FROM U.S.,
INCLUDING OFFER OF SOME TRAINING OF ROK TECHNICIANS IN REPRO-
CESSING FIELD. WE SHOULD DEAL DIRECTLY WITH PARK'S LONGER-TERM
CONCERNS, STATING THAT IN OUR VIEW ROKS IN STRONG SECURITY
POSITION AND WE SEE NO NEED FOR CONCERN; BUT, IF THEY ARE INDEED
WORRIED ABOUT WITHDRAWAL U.S. NUCLEAR PROTECTION, WHICH WE DO
NOT ANTICIPATE, WE WOULD BE GLAD TO DISCUSS THIS CONTINGENCY.
WE MUST, AT SAME TIME, MAKE CLEAR THAT SPECTRUM OF OUR RELATIONS
AND OUR ABILITY TO SUPPORT ROK NOT ONLY IN NUCLEAR POWER DEVELOP-
MENTS BUT ACROSS THE BOARD WILL BE IMPAIRED WITHOUT PARK'S
COOPERATION.

10. RECOMMENDATION: GIVEN CONSIDERATIONS SET FORTH ABOVE, I
WOULD RECOMMEND OPTION 3, SPEAKING MORATORIUM COMPROMISE WITH
ROKS THROUGH DIRECT AND TO EXTENT POSSIBLE UNABRASIVE APPROACH
TO PRESIDENT PARK, ASSUMING HE WISH TO CONTINUE DISCOURAGING
ROKS FROM NUCLEAR REPROCESSING. MORATORIUM WILL PROVIDE
OPPORTUNITY TO ENGAGE ROK IN REGIONAL APPROACH WHILE IT
COULD AVOID NOW MOST DIFFICULT DECISION OF COMPLETELY REVERSING

Photocopy from Gerald R. Ford Library

NO DISSEMINATION



Department of State

TELEGRAM

~~SECRET~~

PAGE 02 SEUL 08458 02 OF 02 311227Z

POLICY.

11. HAVING SAID ABOVE I WOULD ENTER ONE ESSENTIAL CAVEAT. I DO NOT BELIEVE WE CAN TAKE RECOMMENDED STEP WITH PARK UNLESS WE ARE TRULY SERIOUS ABOUT UNIVERSAL AND STRICT APPLICATION OF OUR MULTILATERAL APPROACH TO NUCLEAR REPROCESSING, TO BE TOUGH WITH KOREANS WHILE GIVING THE APPEARANCE OF LENIENCY TOWARD OTHER COUNTRIES WHICH SEEM TO BE IN SAME POSITION WOULD CAUSE KOREANS TO CONCLUDE THAT U.S. HAS SERIOUS DOUBTS ABOUT RELATIONSHIP OF TRUST WHICH UNDERPINS THE ALLIANCE AND WILL PROBABLY CAUSE PERMANENT DAMAGE TO SPIRIT OF COOPERATION NEEDED FOR ASSURING KOREAN SECURITY.

12

Section 3.4 (b) (6) of E.O. 12958

~~SECRET~~

Photocopy from Gerald R. Ford Library

NOT TO BE REPRODUCED WITHOUT THE AUTHORIZATION OF THE EXECUTIVE SECRETARY